

ALTSHULER BERZON LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

177 POST STREET, SUITE 300
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108
(415) 421-7151
FAX (415) 362-8064
www.altshulerberzon.com

STEPHEN P. BERZON
ERIC P. BROWN
HAMILTON CANDEE
EVE H. CERVANTEZ
BARBARA J. CHISHOLM
JEFFREY B. DEMAIN
JAMES M. FINBERG
KRISTIN M. GARCÍA
EILEEN B. GOLDSMITH
MEREDITH A. JOHNSON
SCOTT A. KRONLAND
ANDREW KUSHNER
REBECCA C. LEE
DANIELLE E. LEONARD
STACEY M. LEYTON
TONY LOPRESTI
MATTHEW J. MURRAY
ZOE PALITZ
P. CASEY PITTS
DANIEL T. PURTELL
MICHAEL RUBIN
PEDER J. THOREEN
MEGAN WACHSPRESS

FRED H. ALTSHULER
FOUNDING PARTNER EMERITUS
PETER D. NUSSBAUM
PARTNER EMERITUS
CORINNE JOHNSON
FELLOW

August 3, 2018

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street NE
Washington, DC 20543

Re: *ConAgra Grocery Products Company, et al. v. California*, No. 18-84

Dear Mr. Harris:

Respondent People of California requests a 30-day extension of time to file a response to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed on July 16, 2018 in the above-captioned case. The People's response is currently due on or before August 17, 2018. Concurrent with this request, the People are seeking the same extension in *The Sherwin-Williams Company, et al. v. California*, No. 18-86, which was also filed on July 16, 2018, and which Respondent People intends to oppose in a consolidated Brief in Opposition. Respondent requests this extension in light of the length and complexity of the issues and lower court opinions, and counsel's upcoming deadlines on other matters and long-planned vacations.

The Petition in this case includes five appendices totaling 420 pages. The Petition in *Sherwin-Williams* includes nine appendices totaling 416 pages. Both Petitions raise a series of fact-based Due Process and First Amendment issues arising from a six-week bench trial with 450 exhibits and dozens of witnesses that Respondent's Counsel must review and analyze in preparing the Brief in Opposition.

Scott S. Harris, Clerk of the Court

Re: *ConAgra Grocery Products Company, et al. v. California*, No. 18-84


August 3, 2018

Page 2

The Petitions for Certiorari in these cases were originally due on or before May 15, 2018. The undersigned counsel and co-counsel scheduled late summer vacations and set briefing schedules in other cases in an effort to avoid scheduling conflicts. Petitioners then obtained two 30-day extensions, which created scheduling conflicts for People's counsel.

This request is not made for the purpose of delay, but to ensure that Respondent People of the State of California's interests are adequately protected. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,



Michael Rubin

Counsel for Respondent
People of the State of California